

engineering system and the objects of the automation system.

22. The system as claimed in claim 11, wherein entries in the lists with communication relationships contain sources and drains of the communication relationships, the sources and drains in each case being described by a triple from an identifier of the device, an identifier of the automation object and an identifier of the input or output.

23. The system as claimed in claim 20, wherein entries in the lists with communication relationships contain sources and drains of the communication relationships, the sources and drains in each case being described by a triple from an identifier of the device, an identifier of the automation object and an identifier of the input or output.

24. The system as claimed in claim 21, wherein entries in the lists with communication relationships contain sources and drains of the communication relationships, the sources and drains in each case being described by a triple from an identifier of the device, an identifier of the automation object and an identifier of the input or output. --

REMARKS

Claims 1-24 are now present in this application, with new claims 15-24 being added by the present Preliminary Amendment. It should be noted that the amendments to original claims 1-14 of the present application are non-narrowing amendments, made solely to place the claims in proper form for U.S. practice and not to overcome any prior art or for any other statutory considerations. For example, amendments have been made to broaden the claims; to remove reference numerals in the claims; remove the European phrase "characterized in that"; remove

multiple dependencies in the claims; and to place claims in a more recognizable U.S. form, including the use of the transitional phrase "comprising" as well as the phrase "wherein". Further, method claims have been written in a more recognizable U.S. form by including an "-ing" verb to begin each clause. Again, all amendments are non-narrowing and have been made solely to place the claims in proper form for U.S. practice and not to overcome any prior art or for any other statutory considerations.

SUBSTITUTE SPECIFICATION

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. §1.125, a substitute specification has been included in lieu of substitute paragraphs in connection with the present Preliminary Amendment. The substitute specification is submitted in clean form, attached hereto, and is accompanied by a marked-up version showing the changes made to the original specification. The changes have been made in an effort to place the specification in better form for U.S. practice. No new matter has been added by these changes to the specification. Further, the substitute specification includes paragraph numbers to facilitate amendment practice as requested by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

CONCLUSION

Accordingly, in view of the above amendments and remarks, an early indication of the allowability of each of claims 1-24 in connection with the present application is earnestly solicited.

Should there be any outstanding matters that need to be resolved in the present application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact Donald J. Daley at the telephone number of the undersigned below.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 or under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Respectfully submitted,

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

By: _____

Donald J. Daley, Reg. No. 34,313

DJD:kna

P.O. Box 747
Falls Church, VA 22040-0747
(703) 205-8000

GR 99 P 3133

~~Description~~MARKED - UP COPY OF SPECIFICATION

PCT#
5 Method for the automatic retrieval of engineering data from installations

FIELD OF THE INVENTION
The invention relates to a method for the automatic retrieval of engineering data from installations.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION
An automation system of this type is used in particular in the area of automation technology. An automation system of this type generally comprises a multiplicity of individual automation objects, which are frequently highly dependent on the engineering system respectively used.

At present there are two basic methods in use. In the first method, the retrieval of the engineering data from the installation is ruled out. Changes to the installation are possible only via the engineering tool. Consequently, the data in the engineering system always reflect the current state and there is no need for information to be reproduced from the installation. This solution has the following disadvantages:

Strong link between runtime and engineering: The engineering system must be supplied along with the installation and also be additionally paid for by the customer.

Changes in the installation cannot be reproduced: If there are changes in the installation, for example as a result of a device being exchanged, these changes cannot be automatically reproduced in the engineering system.

High organizational expenditure: To keep the engineering data up to date, organizational precautions have to be taken to ensure the way in which changes in the installation are introduced into the engineering system.

208770-5667660

04-25-2001

PCT/DE00/00735

DESCPAMD

1999P03133 WO

PCT/DE 00/00735

- 2 -

The second approach is based on a disassembly of the runtime code. In this case, the executable code of the runtime objects is analyzed and translated into the engineering counterparts. This solution has the following disadvantages:

- Elaborate method: The analysis of the runtime code is complex and susceptible to errors.
- Implementation-dependent: The implementation of the translation back is strongly dependent on how the translation process is carried out. Changes to the translation process and in particular the code created necessitate adaptation of the implementation of the translating-back process.
- ES information can no longer be produced with certainty: Since the runtime code is at a semantically lower level than the actual engineering information, it cannot be ensured that the engineering information can be exactly reconstructed.

- 20 In the specialist article Elmqvist, H.: "A Uniform Architecture for Distributed Automation", Advances in Instrumentation and Control, vol. 46, part 2, 1991, pages 1599-1608, XP000347589 Research Triangle Park, NC, US, a description is given of an automation system
- 25 whose objects are programmed in an object- and data-flow-oriented programming language. It uses a graphic programming environment and offers means for the creation of dynamically updated process images. The programming language allows an automatic communication
- 30 between distributed objects.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The ^{*One*} problem underlying the invention is that of allowing the information contained in an installation

- 2a -

to be automatically reproduced in an engineering system and used again there, for example to plan changes in the installation.

- 5 *An* ~~This~~ object *of the invention is to solve that and/or other problems* ~~is achieved~~ by a method and by a system with the features specified in claims 1 and 8, respectively.

- 10 In this case, the engineering and runtime objects are described by a uniform object model. As a result, the correspondence between engineering objects and runtime objects can be determined at the object level and no information is lost as a result of the mapping. In addition, a direct communication between engineering
15 and runtime objects can take place, which can be utilized when the method is carried out.

- 20 The relationship between an engineering object and its runtime counterpart is described in figure 1. The engineering object ESO has a direct reference, RTO ref, to its

runtime counterpart RTO. This is possible since the runtime objects are available (or become available) at the time of engineering. The runtime object RTO has no direct reference to the associated engineering object.

- 5 This is necessary to make it possible for the engineering system and runtime system to be separated. Instead of this, the object RTO contains an identifying designation, ESO type ID, referring to the type of engineering object, ESO type. Consequently, required
10 instances of the ESO type can then be created by the RTO.

With respect to a runtime object RTO, the method for the restoration of engineering information proceeds as
15 follows:

1. If a runtime object receives the order to retrieve its engineering information, it firstly addresses the type of its engineering object with the order to create a new instance of an engineering object.
- 20 2. In the newly created instance, the runtime object enters a reference to itself and orders the new engineering object to read out its data (that of the runtime object).
3. The new engineering object then reads out the
25 information from the runtime object and enters the corresponding engineering information in itself.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The invention is described and explained in more detail below on the basis of the exemplary embodiments
30 represented in the figures, in which:

- figure 1 shows an overview to identify the relationships between engineering objects and runtime objects,
- 35 figure 2 shows a view of an object of an installation by way of example,
- figure 3 shows an illustration of the creation of device representatives in the engineering,

09914995-01402
2025-05-06 16:50

figure 4 shows a representation of the creation of the automation objects in the device representatives by way of example and figure 5 shows a layout of the existing communication relationships in the engineering.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSED EMBODIMENTS

The method for the retrieval of engineering information from the installation ^{previously} proceeds in three steps:

Restoration of the device representatives;

10 Restoration of the representatives of the automation objects in the engineering; and

Restoration of the communication relationships between the representatives of the automation objects.

9 The method is described below for the complete
15 retrieval of the engineering information. However, it can equally be used for updating already existing engineering information, i.e. as a delta method. Hereafter, the overall method is referred to as upload.

9 In figure 2, the objects involved are listed by way of
20 example. Two automation objects run on each of the two devices RG1 and RG2. The automation objects RAO1 and RAO2 run on RG1, RAO3 and RAO4 run on RG2. Communication connections are symbolized by lines. Thus, altogether two device-internal and two device-
25 interlinking communication relationships exist.

1. Restoration of the device representatives

The beginning of the upload is initiated from a software system. This may be an engineering system or
30 any other desired system which requires engineering information. One example of this is a system for parameterizing the installation. For the sake of simplicity, hereafter reference is always made to an engineering system. 9 In the first step, all the devices
35 are requested to create their representation in the engineering. For this purpose, each device returns an identifier of the type of its engineering counterpart. The engineering system then creates the corresponding

2025-01-18 14:55:00

objects and enters the reference to the actual device in each device representative. By means of the reference, each device representative then reads out the relevant data of "its" device.

59 Figure 3 illustrates what has just been described. The devices of the installation, here RG1 and RG2, receive the request to upload through the engineering system. They then in each case return the identifiers of the types of the engineering representatives. The engineering system creates the instances G1 and G2 for the corresponding types. These then read out the relevant engineering information from the devices RG1 and RG2.

15 **2. Restoration of the automation objects in the engineering**

9 In the second step, the representatives of the automation objects are created in the engineering. Via the device assigned to it, each device representative requests the automation objects of its device to create its counterparts in the engineering. For this purpose, each automation object returns the identifier of the type of its engineering representative. In the engineering system, the corresponding objects are then again created and provided with a reference to their partner in the runtime environment. After that, each automation object in the engineering inquires the relevant data of its partner.

9 The result of this operation can be seen in figure 4.

30 The representative G1 inquires from the device RG1 the automation objects RA01 and RA02. These are then requested to upload by G1 and return the identifiers of the types of A01 and A02. By means of this information, the instances A01 and A02 are created in the engineering. These then receive a reference to their runtime counterparts RA01 and RA02 are finally assigned to the device representative G1. As a result, the information on the device assignment of the

0001495-01802
2025-05-06 14:56:47

GR 99 P 3133

- 5a -

automation objects is available again. Subsequently, AO1 and AO2 read out the information relevant for engineering from RAO1 and RAO2.

208710" 56647650

3. Restoration of the communication relationships between the automation objects in the engineering

9 In the ^{third} final step, the communication relationships between the automation objects are restored. For this
5 purpose, each device representative asks the device assigned to it for its communication relationships. The device then returns a list with both the device-internal and device-interlinking communication relationships. An entry of this list comprises the
10 source and drain of the communication relationship. The source and drain are in each case described by a 3-tuple from the identifier of the physical device, the identifier of the automation object and the identifier of the input or output.

15 In the engineering system, the entries of the list are converted into references to the inputs and outputs of the representatives of the automation objects. For this purpose, the information from the already created
20 objects (the references of the engineering representatives to their runtime counterparts) is used. Subsequently, the connection in the engineering system is then set up.

25 An efficient way of carrying out the step will ensure that the list with communication connections created by each device only contains those in which the device appears in the identifier of the source (alternatively of the drain). Furthermore, an effective method will
30 buffer-store the relationships between engineering representatives and runtime counterparts set up in steps 1 and 2, in order in this way to minimize the searching effort in step 3.

35 Figure 5 then shows the result of the last step. G1 has inquired the communication relationships from RG1. In response, the relationships between RAO1 and RAO2, RAO1 and RAO3 and between RAO2 and RAO4 were returned.

0001495-01802
20870-5647660

GR 99 P 3133

- 6a -

The connections are then converted in the engineering,
for example the connection

2025-01-14 09:54:05

between RAO1 and RAO3 is converted to the connection between AO1 and AO3.

Both the objects of the engineering system and of the
5 runtime system are based on the same, executable object
model. The use of the same model makes possible a
direct interaction at model level (data exchange and
communication) between the engineering objects and
runtime objects. Furthermore, a unique mapping, which
10 is independent of the implementation of the objects, is
defined by the defined assignment between the
engineering and runtime objects.

This gives rise to ~~the following~~ advantages for the
15 method: *, including but not limited to*

① **Separation of engineering and runtime possible:** Changes
do not necessarily have to be carried out with the
engineering tool. If need be, the changes can be
introduced into the engineering system at any time.

200 ② **Simple method:** By determining the method at the level
of explicit models, the method can be described in
general terms and so becomes more reliable.

③ **Simple and complete mapping:** There is a defined
relationship between the runtime and engineering
25 objects, making complete restoration of the engineering
information possible.

④ **Stable with respect to changes in implementation:**
Implementation of the runtime and engineering objects
can be changed over without having any influence on the
30 mapping and consequently on the way in which the method
is carried out.

⑤ **Non-tool-specific:** The upload mechanism can also be
used by other tools and not just by the engineering
system.

VARIATIONS
⑥ →

09014905-011800

What is claimed is:
Patent claims

(Amended)

1. A method for the automatic retrieval of engineering data from an automation system with a multiplicity of individual automation objects [(RA01..RA04)], in which method,
 - (-) for the restoration of representatives [(G1, G2, AO1..AO4)] in an engineering system of objects [(RG1, RG2, RA01..RA04)] of the automation system, *comprising:*
 - 10 *supplying via* (-) the objects [(RG1, RG2, RA01..RA04)] supply an identifying designation of a type of [their] respective representative [(G1, G2, AO1..AO4)] to the engineering system;
 - creating via* (-) the engineering system, [creates] corresponding representatives [(G1, G2, AO1..AO4)] for the designated types and, *for* in the case of each of the representatives, [(G1, G2, AO1..AO4)] enters *entering* a reference to the object [(RG1, RG2, RA01..RA04)]; *and*
 - 20 *having* (-) and, [by means of] *based upon* the reference, each representative [(G1, G2, AO1..AO4)] (reads) *read* out engineering information from the object [(RG1, RG2, RA01..RA04)].
2. *(Amended)* The method as claimed in claim 1, [characterized in that,] *wherein* in a first step for the restoration of device representatives [(G1, G2)] in the engineering system, *the method further comprises:*
 - 25 *supplying for* (-) devices [(RG1, RG2)] on which the automation objects [(RA01..RA04)] run, [supply] an identifying designation of a type of [their] respective device representative [(G1, G2)] to the engineering system,
 - 30 *creating via* (-) the engineering system, [creates] corresponding device representatives [(G1, G2)] for the

- 9 - *ending for*

- designated types and *(in the case of)* each of the device representatives $[(G1, G2) \text{ enters}]$, a reference to the device $[(RG1, RG2)]$, *and*
- 5 $[- \text{ and, } \text{by means of}]$ *moving, based upon* the reference, each device representative $[(G1, G2) \text{ reads}]$ *read* out engineering information from the device $[(RG1, RG2)]$ and,
- wherein* in a second step for the restoration of representatives $[(AO1..AO4)]$ of the automation objects $[(RAO1..RAO4)]$ in the engineering system, *the method further comprises,*
- 10 *supplying via* $[- \text{ the automation objects } [(RAO1..RAO4) \text{ supply}]]$, an identifying designation $[(ESO \text{ type ID})]$ of a type $[(ESO \text{ type})]$ of $[- \text{ their}]$ respective representative $[(AO1..AO4)]$ to the engineering system,
- if creating via* $[- \text{ the engineering system, } (creates)]$ corresponding
- 15 representatives $[(AO1..AO4)]$ for the designated types and, *(in the case of)* *for* each of the representatives $[(AO1..AO4) \text{ enters}]$, *ending* a reference to the automation object $[(RAO1..RAO4)]$, *and*
- $[- \text{ and, } \text{by means of}]$ *if having based upon* the reference, each
- 20 representative $[(AO1..AO4) \text{ reads}]$ *read* out engineering information from the automation object $[(RAO1..RAO4)]$.
- (Added)*
3. The method as claimed in claim 2, *wherein* $[- \text{ characterized in that,}]$ in a third step for the restoration of communication relationships between the representatives $[(AO1..AO4)]$ of the automation objects $[(RAO1..RAO4)]$ in the engineering system, *the method further comprises,*
- supplying via* $[- \text{ the devices } [(RG1, RG2) \text{ supply}]]$, lists with
- 30 communication relationships to the engineering system,
- convert* $[- \text{ in the engineering system, entries of the lists } (are converted)]$ into references to inputs and

- 10 -

outputs of the representatives $[AO1..AO4]$ of the automation objects $[RAO1..RAO4]$ and, subsequently, ^{setting up} corresponding connections $[are set]$ up in the engineering system.

5 4. ^(Amended) The method as claimed in ^{claim 1, wherein} one of the preceding claims, characterized in that both the objects of the engineering system $[G1, G2, AO1..AO4]$ and the objects $[RG1, RG2, RAO1..RAO4]$ of the automation system are described by a uniform, executable object model and a direct communication at model level is possible between the objects of the engineering system $[G1, G2, AO1..AO4]$ and the objects $[RG1, RG2, RAO1..RAO4]$ of the automation system.

10 5. ^(Amended) The method as claimed in claim 3 ^{wherein} [or 4], characterized in that entries in the lists with communication relationships contain sources and drains of the communication relationships, the sources and drains in each case being described by a ^{tuple} $[3-tuple]$ from an identifier of the device $[RG1, RG2]$, an identifier of the automation object $[RAO1..RAO4]$ and an identifier of the input or

25 6. ^(Amended) The method as claimed in ^{claim 1, wherein} one of the preceding claims, characterized in that the objects $[RG1, RG2, RAO1..RAO4]$ of the automation system have no direct reference to the associated objects of the engineering system $[G1, G2, AO1..AO4]$, to make it possible for the engineering system and automation system to be separated.

claim, wherein

7. ^(Amended) The method as claimed in ^{one of the preceding} claims, characterized in that the method is used for the updating of already existing engineering information as a delta method.

8. ^(Amended) A system for the automatic retrieval of engineering data from an automation system with a multiplicity of individual automation objects [(RA01..RA04)], in which,

(-) for the restoration of representatives [(G1, G2, AO1..AO4)] in an engineering system of objects [(RG1, RG2, RA01..RA04)] of the automation system, ^{comprising:}
 (-) the objects [(RG1, RG2, RA01..RA04)] ^{include} contain an identifying designation of a type of [their] respective representative [(G1, G2, AO1..AO4)] for being supplied to the engineering system, ^{wherein}
 (-) the engineering system ^{includes} contains means for creating representatives [(G1, G2, AO1..AO4)] for the designated types, and means for entering ^{for} in the case of each of the representatives, [(G1, G2, AO1..AO4)] a reference to the object [(RG1, RG2, RA01..RA04)], ^{and}
^{wherein} the reference ^{is} being provided for the reading out of engineering information from the object [(RG1, RG2, RA01..RA04)] by each representative [(G1, G2, AO1..AO4)].

9. ^(Amended) The system as claimed in claim 8, characterized in that, ^{wherein} for the restoration of device representatives [(G1, G2)] in the engineering system,
 (-) devices [(RG1, RG2)] on which the automation objects [(RA01..RA04)] ^{include} contain an identifying

- 12 -

designation of a type of [their] respective device representative [(G1, G2)] for being supplied to the engineering system,

5 (-) the engineering system ^{includes} [contains] means for creating device representatives [(G1, G2)] for the designated types and means for entering [in the case of] ^{for} each of the device representatives [(G1, G2)] a reference to the device [(RG1, RG2)]

10 (-) the reference being provided for the reading out of engineering information from the device [(RG1, RG2)] by each device representative [(G1, G2)] and in that, ^{wherein} for the restoration of representatives [(AO1..AO4)] of the automation objects [(RAO1..RAO4)] in the engineering system,

15 (-) the automation objects [(RAO1..RAO4)] contain an identifying designation [(ESO type ID)] of a type [(ESO type)] of [their] respective representative [(AO1..AO4)] for being supplied to the engineering system,

20 (-) the engineering system ^{includes} [contains] means for creating representatives [(AO1..AO4)] for the designated types and means for entering [in the case of] ^{for} each of the representatives [(AO1..AO4)] a reference to the automation object [(RAO1..RAO4)],

25 (-) the reference being provided for the reading out of engineering information from the automation object [(RAO1..RAO4)] by each representative [(AO1..AO4)].

30 10. (Amended) The system as claimed in claim 9, [characterized in that, ^{wherein} for the restoration of communication relationships between the representatives [(AO1..AO4)] of the automation objects [(RAO1..RAO4)]

in the engineering system,

(A) the devices (RG1, RG2) contain ^{include} lists with communication relationships for being supplied to the engineering system and

5 (-) the engineering system ^{includes} (contains) means for converting entries of the lists into references to inputs and outputs of the representatives (AO1..AO4) of the automation objects (RAO1..RAO4) and means for setting up the corresponding
10 connections in the engineering system.

(Amended)
11. The system as claimed in ^{claim} (one of claims) 8 [to 10], characterized in that ^{wherein} both the objects of the engineering system (G1, G2, AO1..AO4) and the
15 objects (RG1, RG2, RAO1..RAO4) of the automation system are described by a uniform, executable object model and a direct communication at model level is provided between the objects of the engineering system (G1, G2, AO1..AO4) and the
20 objects (RG1, RG2, RAO1..RAO4) of the automation system.

(Amended)
12. The system as claimed in claim 10 [or 11], characterized in that ^{wherein} entries in the lists with
25 communication relationships contain sources and drains of the communication relationships, the sources and drains in each case being described by a 3-tuple ^{tuple} from an identifier of the device (RG1, RG2), an identifier of the automation object
30 (RAO1..RAO4) and an identifier of the input or output.

(Amended)
13. The system as claimed in ^{claim} (one of claims) 8 (to 12),

- 14 -

5 (characterized in that ^{wherein} the objects (RG1, RG2, RAO1..RAO4)) of the automation system have no direct reference to the associated objects of the engineering system (G1, G2, AO1..AO4), to make it possible for the engineering system and automation system to be separated.

10 14. ^(Amended) The system as claimed in ^{claim} [one of ~~the~~ claims] 8 [to 13], ^{wherein} characterized in that the system is used for the updating of already existing engineering information.

New claims?

- 15. Same as 4, but dep on 2
- 16. Same as 4, but dep on 3
- 17. Same as 5, but dep on 4
- 18. Same as 5, but dep on 15
- 19. Same as 5, but dep on 16
- 20. " 11 " 9
- 21. " 11 " 10
- 22. " 12 " 11
- 23. " 12 " 20
- 24. " 12 " 21

Abstract

~~Method for the automatic retrieval of engineering data from installations~~

~~The invention relates to a~~ ^{cal system are} method for the automatic retrieval of engineering data from installations. The engineering and runtime objects are described by a uniform object model. This allows the correspondence between engineering objects and runtime objects to be determined at object level and no information is lost as a result of the mapping. In addition, a direct communication between engineering and runtime objects can take place, which can be utilized when the method is carried out.

~~Figure 1~~

208770-5664660